

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/520,835	ICHIMARU, HIRONOBU	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	James Mackey	1722	

**All Participants:**

(1) James Mackey.

(2) Terryence Chapman.

**Status of Application: Amended**

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 4 August 2006

**Time:** 11:00AM EST

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*none*

Claims discussed:

*3*

Prior art documents discussed:


*none*

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Authorization was given to amend claim 3 at line 34 by changing "plural valves" to --panel valves-- in order to more clearly recite the invention.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)